

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/601,192	06/23/2003	Jean-Paul Albert	028811-26	6425	
22204	7590 03/23/2004		EXAMINER		
NIXON PEABODY, LLP			PATEL, KIRAN B		
401 9TH STREET, NW SUITE 900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASINGTON, DC 20004-2128			3612		
			DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004	DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/601,192	ALBERT, JEAN-PAUL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kiran B. Patel	3612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 January 2004.					
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/9/04. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/601,192

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Non-Final Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claims, as best understood and as detailed in "Claim Rejections - 35 USC S 112", are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, it appears that "Glass roof" should be "a glass roof"

Claims 2-7, it appears that "Glass roof" should be "The glass roof" to provide proper antecedent basis.

Claims, elected for prosecution, are confusing and are not clear because claimed limitations, (claims 1-7, an opening, a motor vehicle, a stop, a support surface, and a roof), are not shown in the figures and therefore fails to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention. These limitations must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). Applicant is requested to go through the application

shown in the drawing in such a way as to convey to one skilled in the art that the

and ensure that the claimed matter has been described in the specification and

inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed

invention. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-7, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Patz (6,375,254).

Regarding claims 1-7, Patz (6,375,254) discloses in Fig. 1-8 the invention as claimed to include an opening 2, a motor vehicle roof 1, a stop (Fig 5), a glass pane

Application/Control Number: 10/601,192 Page 4

Art Unit: 3612

5, a material 32, an outside surface, a support surface, a calibration stop (Fig 4-5),

and a darkening device 6.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record in attached Notice of Reference Cited (PTO-

892) and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. This art

of record shows various features similar to the applicant's invention.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should

be directed to Primary Examiner Kiran B. Patel whose telephone number is 703-

305-0254. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 to 5:00. The

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Kiran B. Patel, P. E.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

March 22, 2004